

col

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/623,610	Applicant(s) SAKAI, NAOKI	
	Examiner Phung T. Nguyen	Art Unit 2632	

All Participants:

(1) Phung T. Nguyen.

(2) Gerhard W. Thielman No. 43,186.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 27 May 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

☐ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☒ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☒ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

103a rejection

Claims discussed:

1,,2,and 4

Prior art documents discussed:

Rice (US 6,028,509) and Madau (US 5,510,765)

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Applicant and Examiner discuss the content of the response in detail. Examiner agrees to consider the reponse when such response is formally submitted.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Phung T. Nguyen 08/02/05
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)